REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested in

view of the following amendments and remarks.

Claims 1-8 and 10-21 are pending and stand rejected.

Claims 1, 6 and 17 are independent claims.

Claims 1, 3,-8, 12, 16 and 17have been amended. Claims 2, 9-11, 14, 15 and 18-21

have been cancelled without prejudice.

Claims 1-8 and 10-20 stand rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by

Hashimoto (USP 5,706,271, hereinafter D1). Claim 21 stands rejected under 35 USC 103

as being unpatentable over D1.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for taking the time to discuss, telephonically, the

proposed amendments to the claims and the Applicant's position, wherein the proposed

amendments to the claims further clarity of the subject matter claimed and further

distinguish the invention claimed over the cited references.

In addition, in response to the discussion held regarding the Examiner's position,

each of the independent claims has been amended to recite the element of "a plurality

of Temporary Data Management areas of a known dimension for storing disc

management information and a designation area, independent of said Temporary Data

Management areas including a predefined number of clusters, said predefined number

of clusters corresponding to being associated with a number of said plurality of

Temporary Data Management areas,..."

No new matter has been added. Support for the amendment may be found at

least in Figure 4 and page 4, lines 15-33 ("Figure 4 shows part of an information layer LO

May 2012 6 of a dual layer disc (as is shown in figure 2) where a TDM0 consisting of 1048 clusters is

followed by a Detection Area consisting of 4 clusters. ... In an alternative embodiment,

an additional cluster is added for indicating whether or not the TDMA0 area is being

used.").

None of the references provides for an independent designation area that for

determining whether a corresponding TDMA is in use.

A claim is anticipated if and only if each and every element is recited in a single

prior art reference.

In this case, D1 cannot be said to anticipate the subject matter recited in claims 1,

6 and 17, as D1 fails to disclose the element of "a plurality of Temporary Data

Management areas of a known dimension for storing disc management information and

a designation area, independent of said Temporary Data Management area."

Accordingly, the independent claims are not anticipated by the cited reference as

the cited reference fails to disclose each of the elements recited in the claims.

With regard to the remaining claims, these claims are dependent from

corresponding ones of the independent claims and, hence, these remaining claims are

also not anticipated by D1 by virtue of their dependency upon an allowable base claim.

With regard to the rejection of claim 20 as being unpatentable over D1, applicant

respectfully disagrees with and explicitly traverses the rejection of the claims.

Claim 20 depends from a corresponding independent claim, which has been

shown to include subject matter not disclosed by the cited reference.

Accordingly, claim 20 is not rendered unpatentable over D1 as D1 fails to disclose

all the elements recited in the claim.

7 May 2012

For the amendments made to the claims and for the remarks made, herein,

applicant submits that the reason for the rejections of the claims has been overcome

and respectfully requests that the rejections be withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance be

issued.

Applicant denies any statement, position or averment stated in the Office Action

that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing. Any rejection and/or points of

argument not addressed are moot in view of the presented arguments and no

arguments are waived and none of the statements and/or assertions made in the Office

Action is conceded.

Applicant makes no statement regarding the patentability of the subject matter

recited in the claims prior to this Amendment and has amended the claims solely to

facilitate expeditious prosecution of this patent application. Applicant respectfully

reserves the right to pursue claims, including the subject matter encompassed by the

originally filed claims, as presented prior to this Amendment, and any additional claims

in one or more continuing applications during the pendency of the instant application.

In order to advance the prosecution of the matter, applicant respectively

requests that any errors in form that do not alter the substantive nature of the

arguments presented herein be transmitted telephonically to the applicant's

representative so that such errors may be quickly resolved or pursuant to MPEP 714.03

be entered into the record to avoid continued delay of the prosecution of this matter

any further.

MPEP 714.03 affords the Examiner the discretion, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.135 (c),

to enter into the record a bona fide attempt to advance the application that includes

minor errors in form.

May 2012 8

"[a]n Examiner may treat an amendment not fully responsive to a non-final

Office Action by: (A) accepting the amendment as an adequate reply to the non-

final Office action to avoid abandonment ... (B) notifying the applicant that the

reply must be completed... (C) setting a new time period for applicant to

complete the reply ...

The treatment to be given to the amendment depends upon:

(A) whether the amendment is bona fide; (B) whether there is sufficient time for

applicant's reply ... (C) the nature of the deficiency.

Where an amendment substantially responds to the rejections, objections or

requirements in a non-final Office action (and is bona fide attempt to advance

the application to final action) but contains a minor deficiency (e.g., fails to treat

every rejection, objection or requirement), the examiner may simply act on the

amendment and issue a new (non-final or final) Office action. The new Office

action may simply reiterate the rejection, objection or requirement not

addressed by the amendment (or otherwise indicate that such rejection,

objection or requirement is no longer applicable).

This course of action would not be appropriate in instances in which an

amendment contains a serious deficiency (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or

does not appear to have been filed in reply to the non-final Office action)..."

However, if the Examiner believes that such minor errors in form cannot be

entered into the record or that the disposition of any issues arising from this response

may be best resolved by a telephone call, then the Examiner is invited to contact

applicant's representative at the telephone number listed below to resolve such minor

errors or issues.

May 2012 9

No fees are believed necessary for the timely filing of this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Belk, Reg.No. 33357

Date: May 9, 2012 /Carl A. Giordano/

By: Carl A. Giordano Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 41,780

Kindly mail all correspondence to:

Michael E. Belk, Esq.
US PHILIPS CORPORATION
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Phone: (914) 333-9643 Fax: (914) 332-0615

May 2012 10